# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JACQUELINE D. BERRY, :

:

Plaintiff,

v. : C.A.No. 06-217-GMS

.

STATE OF DELAWARE,

DIVISION OF CHILD SUPPORT,

:

Defendant. :

#### DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONVENE A CONFERENCE

Defendant moves the Court to schedule a telephone conference at its convenience to address issues of scheduling and discovery. In support of this application, the following is shown:

- 1. On March 31, 2006, plaintiff commenced this Title VII and ADA lawsuit by filing a complaint. (D.I. 1) On May 12, 2006, summons was issued as to defendant. On June 16, 2006, plaintiff moved to amend the complaint. (D.I. 6) On June 19, 2006, defendant filed an answer. On July 28, 2006, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint and defendant answered the amended complaint within a week. (D.I. 7, 9)
- 2. On August 30, 2006, the Court entered a scheduling order that provided that discovery be completed by March 2, 2007. On September 5, 2006, defendant filed and served plaintiff with its first set of interrogatories and request for production. Accompanying the discovery and under a cover letter, counsel

also two forms of authorizations, one for medical records and one for employment information. (D.I. 11, 12, 13) (authorization forms are attached)

- 3. On October 30, 2006, plaintiff moved for an extension to respond to discovery. (D.I. 14) On January 17, 2007, counsel wrote to plaintiff requesting that she provide the discovery responses as almost three months had passed since she requested an extension and the discovery cut was March 2, 2007. (D.I. 17) At the end of January, plaintiff provided some of the requested information, but did not return the authorizations for the release of information.
- 4. On February 28, 2007, plaintiff was deposed and she stated that she would not sign the authorizations.
- 5. The discovery deadline has passed but defendant needs the authorizations to obtain information regarding her ADA and Title VII claims. Counsel apologizes for not requesting an extension prior to the deadline, but was in trial on another matter is the Superior Court. At her deposition, plaintiff indicated that she would oppose any extension of the discovery period. This matter is not presently scheduled for trial, but is scheduled for case dispositive motions on May 2, 2007.
- 6. For the above reasons, Counsel believes that a conference by telephone with plaintiff and counsel would permit the Court to address and/or adjust the schedule and discovery issues. Counsel for defendant is willing to schedule and initiate the telephone conference on a date and time convenient to the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

**STATE OF DELAWARE Department of Justice** 

/s/ Marc P. Niedzielski Marc P. Niedzielski (# 2616) Deputy Attorney General 820 N. French Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8324 marc.niedzielski@state.de.us

DATED: March 9, 2007

Case 1:06-cv-00217-GMS

## **NOTICE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on March 9, 2007, he caused two (2) copies of the attached document be served on the following person by regular U.S. Mail:

### NAME AND ADDRESS OF RECIPIENT(S):

Jacqueline D. Berry 20 Hickory Lane	
Dover, DE 19904	

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Marc P. Niedzielski
Marc P. Niedzielski, I.D. #2616
Deputy Attorney General
Carvel State Office Building
820 N. French Street, 6<sup>th</sup> floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 577-8400
Attorney for Defendant

# **AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION**

I, Jacqueline D. Berry, SSN authorize anyone to release all employemployment, records, or information from interviewed or investigated me for employ such persons to speak with the below named	yment information, applications for anyone who has received, reviewed, yment purposes and further authorized			
I hereby discharge any legal claim of who in accordance with this authorization records to the following named individuals of				
Marc P. Niedzielsl Deputy Attorney O Department of Jus 820 N. French Stre Wilmington, DE 1	General tice eet, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
A photocopy of this authorization is fully effective to release any such information and can be used for six months from the date executed.				
	Jacqueline D. Berry			
Witnessed by:	Date			

#### 

#### AUTHORIZATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

	Name: (print) Jacqueline D. Berry		May 31, 1956		
I, Jac	cqueline D. Berry (Client's Name)	authorize	(Name of Healthca	re Provider)	
ta malaa		mation to	<b>(</b>	,	
to relea	se and/or provide copies of my health infor	ination to:			
	Marc P. Niedzielski, Deputy A		eral		
	820 N. French Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor	î			
	Wilmington, DE 19801				
	v any member or employee of said firm, or ment of me, to confer with any member or				regarding the condition
These r	records are needed for the following reason	(s):			
X	Medical Care Legal Consultation				
	Insurance Review				
	Other (specify)				
The fol	lowing information is to be released:				
<u>X</u> X	Medical Records (including, but not lim Financial Records	ited to: Physician	's Orders, Progress Notes, Diag	nostics, Treatments)	
X X X	Special Records including substance abu	use treatment and	counseling, mentral health, HI	V and STD information.	
	Other:				
In refer	rence to the following (where applicable):				
	Date(s) of Service -				
	Location(s)				
	Department(s)				
	Type(s) of Service:				
Expirat	ion of this authorization: This authorization	rization expi	res in one year		
	ng this authorization. This authorization more revoke this authorization, please provide				complied with in good
	(Signature of Detiont/Client)		(Data)	<u>OR</u>	
	(Signature of Patient/Client)		(Date)		
(Signat	ture of Legal Representative & Relationshi	p)	(Phone)	(Date)	-
	5 1		, · · · /		

Information, once released, may no longer be protected by Federal Privacy Rules and may be subject to re-disclosure by the recipient. However, information covered under Federal Regulation 42 CFR Part 2 may not be re-disclosed unless expressly permitted by the authorization or the regulations.

\*A photocopy of the signed authorization is as valid as the original\*